



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,760	01/04/2002	Anthony A. Sauve	96700/725	6299
1912	7590	08/31/2005	EXAMINER	
AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP 90 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10016			MCINTOSH III, TRAVISS C	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1623		

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/038,760	SAUVE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Traviss C. McIntosh	1623

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Traviss C. McIntosh (Examiner).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Craig Arnold (Applicant's representative).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 August 2005

**Time:** 12:00

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

*IDS filed on 5/6/2004*


**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 JAMES O. WILSON  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called applicants to let them know I was sending them a signed copy of the IDS filed on 5/6/04, as this was missed during the prosecution of the case and should have been considered. It is noted that the examiner finds that the reference does not effect the patentability of the allowed claims..